KING EDWARD'S HOSPITAL FUND FOR LONDON.

PENSIONS FOR HOSPITAL OFFICERS AND NURSES.

OPINIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FROM HOSPITALS ${\rm ON\ THE\ DRAFT\ SCHEME,\ K.F.\ 18/25,}$

To April 8th, 1926.

I. At the third meeting of the Conference, held on February 26th, 1926, there was laid before the Conference a summary of the expressions of opinion and suggestions received from Hospitals in response to the circular K.F. 17/25, issued with the Draft Scheme on November 30th, 1925. The Conference resolved that the summary should be amplified by the addition of a summary of any further observations received to the end of March, and should then be circulated to the Hospitals to which the original circulars were sent.

CLASSIFICATION OF REPLIES.

2.	The following is a classification of the replies received to April 8th:—										
			London.	Provinces.							
		(i) Number of Hospitals addressed	140 (13,000 beds)	850 (44,000 beds)							
		(ii) ,, replied	93 (11,303 ,,)	105 (13,900 ,,)							
		(x) means over 150 available beds; (y) means 50	to 150 ; (z) means under 50.								
			London.	Provinces.							
			Hospitals. Beds.	Hospitals. Beds.							
	A.	Scheme generally approved if adopted (usually subject to finance)	$ \begin{pmatrix} x & 6 \\ (y) & 10 \\ (z) & 12 \end{pmatrix} 3,572 $	$ \begin{array}{ccc} (x) & 2 \\ (y) & 4 \\ (z) & 9 \end{array} \right\} 1,448 $							
	B.	Ditto, but with some reservation other than finance	$ \begin{array}{ccc} (x) & 14 \\ (y) & 5 \\ (z) & 5 \end{array} \left.\begin{array}{ccc} 4,691 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{ccc} (x) & 6 \\ (y) & 8 \\ (z) & 3 \end{array} \right\} 2,352 $							
	c.	Sympathetic consideration	$ \begin{pmatrix} (x) & - \\ (y) & 5 \\ (z) & 4 \end{pmatrix} $ 499	$ \begin{array}{cc} (x) & 2 \\ (y) & 5 \\ (z) & 8 \end{array} \right} 1,305 $							
	D.	General sympathy with a Scheme	$ \begin{pmatrix} (x) & I \\ (y) & - \\ (z) & 3 \end{pmatrix} $ 334	$ \begin{array}{ccc} (x) & 2 \\ (y) & 2 \\ (z) & - \end{array} \right} 1,142 $							
	E.	Cannot afford Scheme	$ \begin{array}{c} (x) & - \\ (y) & 2 \\ (z) & 5 \end{array} $ 242	$ \begin{array}{ccc} (x) & 4 \\ (y) & 2 \\ (z) & 4 \end{array} \text{1,176} $							
	F.	Negative for some reason other than finance \dots \dots		$ \begin{pmatrix} (x) & - \\ (y) & 1 \\ (z) & 2 \end{pmatrix} $ 115							
	G.	Raise some definite questions or difficulties, but without expressing general opinion	$ \begin{pmatrix} (x) & 3 \\ (y) & 4 \\ (z) & 2 \end{pmatrix} I,I77 $	$ \begin{array}{c} (x) & II \\ (y) & II \\ (z) & IO \end{array} \right\} $							
	н.	Reserve opinion (sometimes till general view is known)	$ \begin{pmatrix} (x) & I \\ (y) & I \\ (z) & 2 \end{pmatrix} $ 449	$ \begin{pmatrix} (x) & 3 \\ (y) & 3 \\ (z) & 1 \end{pmatrix} 1,799 $							
	K.	Suggest a different basis		(x) I 273							
	L.	Satisfied with own Scheme		(x) I 265							
			93 11,303	105 13,900							

SPECIFIC QUESTIONS AND SUGGESTIONS.

3.	The following is	s a list o	f the points raise	d by Hospitals or by o	ther correspondents.	They are
	arranged in the	e order o	f the paragraphs	of the Memorandum	K.F. 18/25, general	questions
	being placed at	the end.	The number of	available beds at each	Hospital is indicated	:

4.	CLASSES (JF	OFF.	ICERS	ANI) 1(0	RSES	10 BE	. 1100	LUDE	D (12.	1. 10/25, paragraph 1/).—
		(a)	onl	y nur	sings			·•·		•••	•••	Shrewsbury, 130; Metropolitan, 137; Nottingham Ch., 39; Guildford, 113;
				,,	,,		at firs	t	•	•••	•••	London Lock, 200;
		(b)	not	prob	ation				•	•••	•••	London, 849; Oxford, 225; Burton, 78; Chester, 201; Salford, 260; Portsmouth, 166; Liverpool, Lewis, 225; Liverpool, Royal, 324; Lincoln, 130; in view of Pensions Act: Derby, 320; inclusion will limit scheme to financially prosperous Hospitals and give them choice of nurses: omit and reapportion contributions for nurses: Preston, 231; Barrow, 140;
			,,		,,		Cotta	-	spit	als	•••	Aberdare, 72; Bakewell, 10;
			,,		,,	,,	Specia	al	,,		•••	Birmingham Eye, 120; Central London Throat, 43;
			,,		,,	for	less m pens low)	-	-	_	-	Westminster, 234; St. George's, 342;
			,,		"	un	til aft	er tw	о у	ears		South London Women, 113; City Heart, 185;
		(c)	only	siste	ers		•••			•••		Salisbury, 145; Birmingham General, 370;
	•	(d)	as ii	n Sch	eme	•••		•••		•••		Conference of 5 Children's Hospitals in London; Bury, 132;
		(e)	also	port	ers a	nd m	ale do	mesti	cs	•••		Preston, 231; Barrow, 140.
4.*	Classes o	F C	FFI	CERS	and :	Nurs	SES TO	BE II	NCLU	DED-	-Sala	RY LIMITS (K.F. 18/25, paragraph 19):—
		(a)	add	" bu	t onl	y aft	er six	montl	ns' s	ervice	"…	London, 849;
		(b)	no o	option	n belo	ow £1	ɪ6o	•••				U.C.H., 344.
4·**	Compulso	RY	ME	MBER	SHIP	(K.F	. 18/25	5, par	agra	phs 19) (c) a	nd 20) :
		(a)	opti	ional				•••		•••	•••	Westminster, 234; Hornsey, 43; Blackheath, 26; General Lying-in, 45;
			,	,	unles	s vir	tually	unive	rsal			Woking, 49;
		(b)	com	puls	ory fo	or all	•••	•••				Winchester, 158; Chelsea, 97; Children's Hospitals in London;
		(c)	con	ıpulsi	ion re	quir	ed by	Statu	te			Yarmouth, 72;
				ı		,,	but obj	prol ect	oatio	ners	will	Glasgow Royal Ch., 27; will demand increased salaries: Bakewell, 10;
	S'S FUND		_									,

THE KING INFORMATIO 11-13 CAVEND LONDON	ON CENTRE ISH SQUARE V1G 0AN
Class mark	Extensions
QA0	Kin
Date of receipt	Price
	DONATION

5.	Contribut	TIO	ns (K.F. 18/2	5, paragraj	hs 21 to	26) :-	_	
	((a)	too expensive	for Hospit	als	•••	•••	City Heart, 185; Bristol Homœopathic, 56; Dorchester, 100; Croydon, 106; Preston, 231; begin more moderately: Winchester, 158;
			,,	"	if not Fur	in Ki id are		Royal National, Ventnor, 154;
			,,	"	unless Fur	Ki d helj	ng's os	(See 15 (d) below);
			"	"		s Ki d b de cos		Poplar, 103;
			,,	,, (See	if Cot pita also 8 (b	ls		Wells, 20; Rye, 16;
	(<i>b</i>)	now pay £483 annum	per annum	; then £	2,377	per	Dreadnought, 441;
	((c)	now pay £1,9 per annum.	27 per ann	um; the	en £2,	178	St. George's, 342;
	(4	d)	pension too hi	igh: half sa	lary afte	r 40 y	ears	City Heart, 185;
			" "		only su			Sevenoaks, 26;
			,, ,,		v of Pensi	ons A	ct	Derby, 320;
	(e)	15 per cent. to	oo high			•••	City Heart, 185 (cf. Railway Companies);
	(5 per cent. an half and half		t 			Burton, 78; City Heart, 185; Portsmouth, 166; Salisbury, 145; Southampton, 130;
	(&	g) :	increasing io per cent.	salary to				Winchester, 158;
	()	h) -	too high for of		•••			Bristol Homœopathic, 56;
		k)		urses	•••		•••	Ayr, 97; Bristol Homoeopathic, 56; Rye, 16; Shrewsbury, 130;
			,, ,,	" will de	eter proba	tione	rs	Glasgow Royal Ch., 270;
			,, ,,		lead to aries	increa	sed	Marlow, 8; Bakewell, 10; hence increased contributions: Ealing, 60;
			""		ate pensio equal cor			Bakewell, 10.
5 **	PRIVATE E	Смг	PLOYER'S CON	rribution	(K.F. 18)	25, p	aragra	ph 24, note):—
0-			query certain		•••		•••	Miller, 100; General Lying-in, 45; Malvern, 24;
	(b)	,, ,,	or exclude	probation	ers	•••	Westminster, 234; St. George's, 342.
5• * *	EMOLUMEN	ITS	(K.F. 18/25, I	oaragraph 2	4 and Ap	pendi	x III)	:
	. (4	a)	too high			•••	•••	Chesham, 20; Bakewell, 10; Malvern, 24; Shrewsbury, 130; Birkenhead Maty., 22;
	(b)	they vary: or		y 20 per	cent.	and	Sevenoaks, 26;
	(c)	omit		•••			Derby, 320.

***	Increment I	Polici	es (K.F.	18/ 25, par	agraph ;	30) :		
	(a)	query	procedu	re when sa	dary red	luced		Miller, 100;
	(b)	prefe	r every £	01		•••	•••	Sevenoaks, 26;
	(c)	endor	rsement p	referred	•••			Brighton, 225.
6.	ALTERNATIVE	e Ben	егітѕ (К.	F. 18/25, j	paragraj	phs 31 t	o 37) :-	_
	(a)	deferr	ed annui	ties only	•••			Dreadnought, 441; Yarmouth, 72;
	(b)	,,	3 3	preferr	ed for n	urses	•••	Derby, 320; plus disablement, Metropolitan, 137;
	(c)		ction of	only, e Central Co	except v	with spe	ecific	Winchester, 158; and annuity continued to widow: Winchester, 158;
	(d)	exclu	de deferr	ed annuity	withou	ıt returi	ı	Redhill, 8o.
7.	Pension Agi	ε (K.F	`. 18/25, j	oaragraphs	40 and	41):		
•				40 years's			•••	Miller, 100 (cf. Port of London); Bury St. Edmund's, 100;
	(b)	officer	rs: male	65, female	60			Yarmouth, 72; Ipswich, 250;
	(c)	nurse	s earlier		•••	•••		St. George's, 342 (50 if pension adequate); Brighton, 225;
	(d)	nurse	s later th	an 55	•••		•••	Salisbury, 145;
	(e)	no co	ntributio	ns after 60	or 55			City Heart, 185;
	(1)			mposes Co Hospitals	llege sca	ale, too	high	Chesham, 20.
8.	Migration F	rom S	Service (K.F. 18/25	5, parag	raphs 4	2 to 52	2) :
	(a)	query Lav	_	oing into A	army, N	avy or	Poor	Miller, 100;
	(b)	by Sch	nurses, a neme, lar	ospital con fter 5 year gely for be lify for pe	s adds tenefit of	o expen	se of	(several of the Hospitals under (c) below and in paragraph 5 (a) above);
	(c)	hospi	tal contri	bution ret	urnable	:		
		(i) t	ill 5 yea	rs after reg	gistratio	n (nurs	e)	St. Thomas's, 574;
		(ii)	" 7 yea	rs	•••		•••	Liverpool Royal, 324;
		(iii)	" 7 to 1	o years	•••	•••	•••	Sevenoaks, 26;
				rs (nurse)	•••	•••	•••	Lowestoft, 80;
		(v)		rs all; 10 20 none (of		years l	nalf;	" 80;
		(vi)	" 5 yea: 10 noi	rs all; 5 ne	to IO	half;	after	Chelsea, 97; Elizabeth Garrett Anderson, 75;
		(vii)	" pensic	n age, unl	ess disal	bled	*** .	Yarmouth, 72; City Heart, 185; Bury St. Edmund's, 100; Nottingham Ch., 39; Winchester, 158; and used to reduce other nurses' contributions or increase their benefits; Bury, 132;
		(viii) ı	not at all		•••	•••		St. George's, 342; Brighton, 225.

```
Q. Existing Officers and Nurses (K.F. 18/25, paragraphs 53 to 56):--
                                                              London, 849; Central London Ophthal-
              (a) special consideration ...
                                                                mic, 40; Chelsea, 97;
                                                              St. George's, 342; U.C.H., 344;
              (b) not less than before ...
                                                  ...
                                                         ...
                                                              Miller, 100;
              (c) specific scale ...
                                                   ...
                           ,, as old Civil Service
                                                              H.O.A.;
                                                 ....
                                                         ...
                           ,, refer to B.H.A.
                                                              Liverpool Royal Southern, 221;
                                                  ...
                                                         ...
                                                              Winchester, 158;
                           ,, table of cost of back premiums
                                                              Elizabeth Garrett Anderson, 75;
              (d) assistance needed with arrears
                                                              Brighton, 225;
              (e) query where no obligation now recognised...
             (f) prospects would be affected by drain on
                                                              Preston, 231; Barrow, 140.
                    Hospital finance caused by over-loading
                    of Scheme
   DISABLEMENT (K.F. 18/25, paragraphs 57 and 58):-
                                                               Winchester, 158;
              (a) annuity proportionate to service ...
              (b) should be discussed and provided for if
                                                              U.C.H., 344; Brighton, 225; Louth, 36.
                    possible
II. MACHINERY (K.F. 18/25, paragraphs 59 to 68):-
              (a) should be simple and leave freedom to
                                                               City Heart, 185;
                    Hospitals
                                                               Sevenoaks, 26; Brighton, 225;
              (b) should not fetter annuitants' discretion ...
                                                               Miller, 100;
               (c) should prohibit anticipation
              (d) Central Council: Hospitals in majority ...
                                                               St. George's, 342;
                                                               Winchester, 158;
                                   should guarantee pensions
                                     for 5 years
                                   query duties and powers of
                                                               Brighton, 225;
                                     representatives of officers
                                     and nurses
              (e) panel of insurance companies :-
                   (i) should be one, or at most two, with uni-
                                                               Metropolitan, 137;
                        form benefits
                                                               Chelsea, 97; Elizabeth Garrett Ander-
                  (ii) should be one ...
                                                                 son, 75;
                                                               Glasgow Royal Ch., 270;
                  (iii) one company and for permanent nurses
                  (iv) one central policy by College of Nursing
                                                               Shrewsbury, 130;
                                                               Ipswich, 250; British Mothers, 42;
                  (v) query position of existing policies
                                                                e.g., R.N.P.F.N., Brighton, 225;
                                                               H.O.A.;
                  (vi) query would it be cheaper to concen-
                         trate on the company that gives best
                        terms for each option?
                                                               letter from conference of 27 companies
                 (vii) should be on broadest possible lines,
                                                                 excluded from new F.S.S.U. panel;
                        consistent with security, leaving
                                                                 Westminster, 234; Brighton, 225;
                        wide choice, and excluding only
                                                                 Preston, 231;
                        offices obviously unsuitable
                                                               Conference of five Ch. Hospitals
                 (viii) should fund of R.N.P.F.N. be brought
                                                                 (London);
                        in?
              (f) agreements should safeguard right to
                                                               Miller, 100.
                    dismiss, etc.
```

12. FINANCE (K.F. 18/25, paragraph 69):-

(a) commissions should go to expenses ... St. George's, 342; Malvern, 24; Winchester, 158; Chelsea, 97; Brighton,

chester, 158; Chelsea, 97; Brighton

first ... Redhill, 80;

(b) query how much levy will be ... Westminster, 234.

GENERAL QUESTIONS.

13. Effect of Pensions Act, 1925:-

- (a) query what the effect is Miller, 100; London Fever, 178; Poplar, 103;
- (b) query should pensions be apportioned? ... Bristol, 350; and premiums reduced:

 Middlesex, 422; Acton, 50; and probationers omitted: Derby, 320; and pension before 65 increased: Sevenoaks, 26;
- (c) query amalgamate with all Government Chelsea, 97. insurance

14. FINANCIAL DIFFICULTY: -

Frequently mentioned, or time considered inopportune

Often attributed largely to inclusion of probationers, and retention of Hospital contributions by employees (especially nurses) not remaining permanently in service.

15 PROCEDURE :-

- (a) Five Children's Hospitals in London held a conference with a view to an agreed reply.
- (b) The Clinical Hospitals of Dublin did the same.
- (c) Lowestoft (80 beds) suggested a Regional Meeting of all Hospitals in its area to be addressed by an actuary or some one conversant with the Scheme.
- (d) Several Hospitals in London suggested that King's Edward's Hospital Fund for London should convene a conference of Hospitals in London that were in sympathy with the Scheme, to discuss details, including the method by which the King's Fund could help.

APPENDIX.

EFFECT OF PENSIONS ACT, 1925.

- (i) The Act includes many of the wage earners in hospital employment not eligible for the Draft Scheme; but also includes some of the same employees as the Scheme, viz., officers if nonmanual workers not receiving over £250 a year cash; nurses if not receiving over £250 a year cash.
- (ii) The Act provides a small amount of similar benefits; e.g., ros. a week from age 65, and pensions and allowances to widows and orphans.

7

- (iii) The Act requires small weekly contributions, while reducing contributions for health and unemployment insurance. The employer's contributions for pensions range from 10s. 10d. per annum gross to 19s. 6d. gross, reduced to from 4s. 4d. per annum net to 8s. 8d. net. The employee's contributions range from 8s. 8d. per annum gross to 19s. 6d. gross, reduced to from 4s. 4d. net to 8s. 8d. net. These contributions are supplemented by Government contributions.
- (iv) The following alternatives are theoretically possible:-
 - (a) to apportion the benefits and contributions, either
 - (x) by deducting the Pensions Act contributions from the Draft Scheme contributions, thus leaving a reduced Draft Scheme benefit supplemented by the Pensions Act benefit; the combined benefit being larger by the effect of the Government contribution; or
 - (y) by deducting the Pensions Act benefit from the Draft Scheme benefit, and reducing the Draft Scheme contributions accordingly; the reduction being greater by the effect of the Government contribution; or
 - (z) by some other method.
 - (b) to carry both on side by side, on the ground that the complications involved in alternative (a) would be disproportionate, having regard to the smallness of the Pensions Act contributions.

7 Walbrook, E.C.4, April, 1926.

